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Introduction

"The past few decades have seen an explosion in “born digital” data – including from social media services and online platforms, smart phones, digital devices and the web. These sources of data open up new avenues for the study for social and political phenomena (Savage & Burrows, 2007; Lazer et al., 2009). This panel will examine the potential implications of a shift from “digitized” to “born digital” data and methods (Rogers, 2014). This methodological shift from a focus on polls, surveys and interviews to repurposing digital traces and big data is accompanied by a corresponding shift in ways of studying and thinking about of social life. Drawing on research in digital sociology, media studies, communication studies and Science and Technology Studies, this panel will look at how “born digital” data is and can be used in the context of public policy”.

Addressing the panel topic by reflecting on the “digitalised” and “natively digital” distinction in digital studies through two examples of engagements between digital research, including “Digital Methods” approaches, and policy research

Thereby, thinking the distinction not in terms of a “shift”, but rather in terms of choices of specific proxies that are more or less suited to produce distant readings of dynamics taking place in specific places, some online, some offline, in the same world.
What are we saying when we say “digital methods” for public policy (research and analysis)?

DM are an approach within “digital studies” within the computational turn in SHS proposing a « web epistemology » to address challenges of web-based research. By contrast to the import of existing SHS methods into the web or to the use of digitalized data, DM are “fully digitally native” by engaging in a repurposing of online devices and their methods for social research: they are “interface methods” (Marres & Weltevrede 2013) which are experimental, situational and precarious.

This highlights the need to reflect on the ways different kinds of digital data are collected and analysed in studying complex policy debate dynamics, such as those around international climate policy.
Debates over international climate policy deploy over different spaces forming the mosaic of public space of issues, at the official COP venues and beyond, including more and more “online” spaces, such as those provided by social media platforms.

How can we map the different spaces of climate debate by relying on digital data? And who does the nature of that data come at play when considering tools and methods for producing distant readings?
# Mapping climate policy debates: finding the right data for the right space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overarching objective</strong></td>
<td>Mapping the spaces of “issue climatisation” at the occasion of COP21, actors involved and their strategies and orientations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research questions within the larger project framework</strong></td>
<td>What topics have structured the negotiation at COPs and what have been their trajectories; how are these trajectories are telling about climatisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space and timeframe of inquiry</strong></td>
<td>How is COP21 thematised and different issues climatised in key social media platforms, and how those spaces affect the modes of issue climatisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpora or data sets providing “proxy” access to those spaces</strong></td>
<td>Inside COPs, off-line, over 20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpora or data sets providing “proxy” access to those spaces</strong></td>
<td>Outside COP’s, online, namely on digital platformes, including Twitter and Youtube, over 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpora or data sets providing “proxy” access to those spaces</strong></td>
<td>Digitalized daily issues of the Earth Negotiations Bulletin of the ’IISD scraped from the web with ad hoc scripts in Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpora or data sets providing “proxy” access to those spaces</strong></td>
<td>Social media data bought to data providers (Linkfluence) and, Twitter data extracted via its APIs with ad hoc software developed by the Digital Methods Initiative, T-CAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tools</strong></td>
<td>CorText for text and network analysis, GePhi, Raw for data visualisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tools</strong></td>
<td>Radarly and TCAT for data analysis, CorText for text and network analysis; Tableau, Gephi, Raw for data visualisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inside the COPs

What digital data for mapping climate debates within the annual COP space?
Data collection: finding a proxy for 20 years of COP climate negotiations

Malawi, for the LDCs, lamented that text on « encouraging policy signals by governments » does not address provision of climate finance. New Zealand described « right » policies and enabling environments as a prerequisite for more effective flows of climate finance.

http://www.iisd.ca/vol12/
Data analysis: identifying topics over the ENB reporting on annual COPs
Data visualisation

The semantic network structure of debates 1995-2013
Data visualisation

The trajectories of debate topics at COPs, 1995-2013
Two articles and three websites


Climaps.eu

Medea.medialab.sciencespo.fr

Climatenegotiations.org
Around COP21, online

What digital data for mapping online climate debates at the occasion of COP21
Data collection: the challenge of access

Data providers
Free, open software

Each presenting its own pros and cons…
social media platform e.g. Twitter, Facebook

users communicate, interact, express, publish, etc. through "grammars of action" (forms and functions) rendered in software

API technical interface to the data, defined in technical, legal, and logistical terms

makes calls to API, creates "views" by combing data into specific sets or metrics, produces outputs

output type 1: widget provides visual or textual representation of view, e.g. an interactive chart

output type 2: file data in standard file format, e.g. CSV

allows analyzing files in various ways, e.g. statistics, graph theory

analysis software, e.g. Excel, gephi

layers of technical mediation that one might want to think about

Data collection: Berhard Rieder’s diagram on how social media analysis with digital methods work
Data collection and analysis: mapping #COP21 “climatisation dynamics” on Twitter with DMI T-CAT
Data visualisation: #COP21 and the hashtags race in the climatisation of issues on Twitter

User-curated issue climatisation and the rise and fall of top daily hashtags during COP21
COP21 on Twitter: the #hashtags race in the climatisation of issues

NUMI data sprint on COP21: project Tweet on COP
COP21 on Twitter: the #hashtags race in the climatisation of issues
1.5 degrees' issue semantic network of co-occurring terms in the twitter dataset between 1/4/15 et 8/2/16
To conclude

Not a matter of shift but a matter of data proxies for specific spaces
Accounting for the process of translation: Latour’s chain of reference
Conclusion

When mapping complex debate dynamics there are multiple sources of digital data which can be used, each presenting its own specificities which need to be accounted for. It is not a matter of shift, as one source cannot replace the other.

The first example focused on the “inside” of the negotiation and we used a collection of digitalised summaries of the ENB to map the evolution of topics over twenty-two annual conferences. The second one focused on Twitter and used Twitter data to map online interactions around the 21st COP in Paris using the #COP21 hashtag to delimit a space where public debate on climate change policy takes place.

Both sources of data, digitalised ENB summaries and natively digital Tweets have their specific grammars that have to be accounted for when using them as proxies to produce distant readings in the form of visualisations of topical dynamics about climate policy taking place in specific spaces.

Both online and offline spaces participate to the overall conversation taking on climate policy in the same world, but in different spaces, and to study them we need different data proxies.