

# T01P11 / Policy Regime Framework: Towards Better Theories of the Policy Process

**Topic :** T01 / Policy Process Theories

**Chair :** Iftikhar Lodhi (Nazarbayev University)

## GENERAL OBJECTIVES, RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND SCIENTIFIC RELEVANCE

More than a decade ago Peter John (2003) asked “is there life after policy streams, punctuations, and coalitions?” Few years later Peter May (2010) followed the suite asking policy scholars to go “beyond subsystems” and employ “policy regimes” lens. These calls reflect a growing sense of a lack of theory development in Policy Sciences (PS), be it competitive theories or complementary in a progressive way. The discipline, despite its rich and long history, offers only heuristic models or loose frameworks (often without strong theoretical foundations) ranging from the first generation rationalism and incrementalism to second generation Garbage Can, Multiple Streams, and Advocacy Coalition Framework. The third generation of theory building in Policy Sciences appears to be stagnated. The scholars working in any one particular tradition rarely engage other frameworks within PS or theories across disciplines like International Relations (IR), Comparative Political Economy (CPE), and more importantly recent developments in New Institutional Economics (NIE), all of which are concerned with the similar issues. If the third generation of policy theories were to produce cumulative knowledge and a better understanding of the complex policy process in an increasingly globalised setting, a synthesis is imperative. Recently Policy Science scholars have called for incorporation of the cumulative knowledge from IR and CPE into the policy process frameworks (Jochim and May 2010; John 2013). Such a synthesis is also required across the supposedly incommensurate epistemological divides (positivist v. constructivist) within these disciplines, if we are to accumulate knowledge in a progressive way (Checkel 1997; Shapiro and Wendt 2005; Walker 2010).

This panel invites policy scholars to take up this challenge and propose innovative frameworks and possibly concrete theories of the policy process that incorporate emerging realities of the policy process, particularly in light of the challenges posed by globalisation to PS. Papers particularly developing and fine tuning the Policy Regimes Framework/Perspective are encouraged.

## CALL FOR PAPERS

More than a decade ago Peter John (2003) asked “is there life after policy streams, punctuations, and coalitions?” Few years later Peter May (2010) followed the suite asking policy scholars to go “beyond subsystems” and employ “policy regimes” lens. These calls reflect a growing sense of a lack of theory development in Policy Sciences (PS), be it competitive theories or complementary in a progressive way. The discipline, despite its rich and long history, offers only heuristic models or loose frameworks (often without strong theoretical foundations) ranging from the first generation rationalism and incrementalism to second generation Garbage Can, Multiple Streams, and Advocacy Coalition Framework. The third generation of theory building in Policy Sciences appears to be stagnated. The scholars working in any one particular tradition rarely engage other frameworks within PS or theories across disciplines like International Relations (IR), Comparative Political Economy (CPE), and more importantly recent developments in New Institutional Economics (NIE), all of which are concerned with the similar issues. If the third generation of policy theories were to produce cumulative knowledge and a better understanding of the complex policy process in an increasingly globalised setting, a synthesis is imperative. Recently Policy Science scholars have called for incorporation of the cumulative knowledge from IR and CPE into the policy process frameworks (Jochim and May 2010; John 2013). Such a synthesis is also required across the supposedly incommensurate epistemological divides (positivist v. constructivist) within these disciplines, if we are to accumulate knowledge in a progressive way (Checkel 1997; Shapiro and Wendt 2005; Walker 2010).

This panel invites policy scholars to take up this challenge and propose innovative frameworks and possibly concrete theories of the policy process that incorporate emerging realities of the policy process, particularly in light of the challenges posed by globalisation to PS. Papers particularly developing and fine tuning the Policy Regimes Framework/Perspective are encouraged.

# T01P11 / Policy Regime Framework: Towards Better Theories of the Policy Process

Chair : Iftikhar Lodhi (Nazarbayev University)

## Session 1

Wednesday, June 28th 14:00 to 16:00 (Block B 3 - 1 )

### **Policy Regime Framework: Towards better Theories of the Policy Process**

Iftikhar Lodhi (Nazarbayev University)

### **A new way to study policy reform in a transitional Vietnam by developing a model of major policy change**

Huan Dang (Vietnam Ministry of Education and Training)

### **Complementary lenses in policy change framework: South Africa case of sustainability transitions**

Mapula Tshangela (University of Stellenbosch)

### **Large hydropower and legitimacy: a policy regime analysis, applied to Myanmar**

Tira Foran (CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation))

# T01P11 / Policy Regime Framework: Towards Better Theories of the Policy Process

Chair : Iftikhar Lodhi (Nazarbayev University)

## Session 2

Wednesday, June 28th 16:15 to 18:15 (Block B 3 - 1 )

### **Trading Privacy for Security? A study across the dynamics of U.S. federal policy regimes in cyberspace**

Sivan-Sevilla Ido (University of Maryland)

### **Advocacy Coalition Framework: The Mediating Effect of Coalition Opportunity Structures on the Relationship between External Shocks and Policy Change**

Changgeun Yun

Jin-Mo An (Yonsei University)

### **Shaping Policies in India: Towards a new theoretical framework**

Kaushiki Sanyal (JustJobs Network)

Rajesh Chakrabarti (OP Jindal Global University)

### **Rethinking Multiple Streams and the 'Legitimacy Stream': Lessons Learned from Taiwan's Land Expropriation Case**

Bing-Yan Lu (Department of Public Administration, National Dong Hwa University)